

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
CENTRAL DIVISION**

MICKEY CONLEY, Register No. 512073, )  
  )  
  )  
Plaintiff,                                  )  
  )  
  )  
v.  )  No. 07-4170-CV-C-SOW  
  )  
  )  
  )  
JAY CASSADY, et al.,                          )  
  )  
  )  
  )  
Defendants.  )

**ORDER**

On October 18, 2007, United States Magistrate Judge William A. Knox recommended dismissing plaintiff's claim regarding his assignment to administrative segregation and recommended denying his request for preliminary injunctive relief on his claims for denial of access to the courts and personal property. The parties were advised they could file written exceptions to the recommendation, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

The court has conducted a de novo review of the record, including the exceptions filed by plaintiff on October 29, 2007. Plaintiff misconstrues the constitutional requirements for access to the courts, and has not shown he is in imminent danger of irreparable harm if injunctive relief is not granted. Plaintiff's filings show he has access to this court.

To the extent plaintiff wants an order directing the institution to comply with its policies related to the provision of legal supplies, plaintiff has selected the wrong forum. The federal court may not order a state to comply with its own laws or regulations absent a violation of the federal constitution or laws. The Eleventh Amendment prohibits federal court intervention in such claims. Pennhurst State School & Hosp. v. Halderman, 465 U.S. 89 (1984); Barclay v. Florida, 463 U.S. 939 (1983).

The issues raised in the exceptions were otherwise adequately addressed in the report and recommendation. The court is persuaded that the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is correct and should be adopted.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of October 18, 2007, is adopted. [10] It is further

ORDERED that plaintiff's claims challenging his assignment to administrative segregation are dismissed, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, for failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted. It is further

ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunctive relief and a temporary restraining order on his claims of denial of access to courts and personal property are denied.

[3, 6, 7]

/s/Scott O. Wright

SCOTT O. WRIGHT

Senior United States District Judge

Dated: December 26, 2007